# Torsion points on elliptic curves and gonalities of modular curves with a focus on gonalities of modular curves.

#### Maarten Derickx

Mathematisch Instituut Universiteit Leiden

Graduation talk 25-09-2012

<span id="page-0-0"></span>











 $S(d) := \{p \text{ prime } | \exists K/\mathbb{Q} : [K : \mathbb{Q}] \le d, \exists E/K : E(K)[p] \ne 0\}$ 

*Primes* $(n) := \{p \text{ prime} \mid p \leq n\}$ 

- *S*(*d*) is finite (Merel)
- $S(d) \subseteq Primes((3^{d/2}+1)^2)$  (Oesterlé)
- $S(1) = Primes(7)$  (Mazur)
- *S*(2) = *Primes*(13) (Kamienny, Kenku, Momose)
- *S*(3) = *Primes*(13) (Parent)
- *S*(4) = *Primes*(17) (Kamienny, Stein, Stoll) to be published.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

### New results in my thesis

 $S(d) := \{p \text{ prime} \mid \exists K/\mathbb{Q} : [K : \mathbb{Q}] \le d, \exists E/K : E(K)[p] \ne 0\}$ 

*Primes* $(n) := \{p \text{ prime} \mid p \leq n\}$ 

- *S*(5) ⊆ *Primes*(19) ∪ {29, 31, 41}
- *S*(6) ⊆ *Primes*(41) ∪ {73}
- *S*(7) ⊆ *Primes*(43) ∪ {59, 61, 67, 71, 73, 113, 127}

This is in the "Torsion Points" part of my thesis. Today I will not talk about this, but about how to show  $S(5) = Primes(19)$ . This joint work with Michael Stoll and will be published together with the *S*(4) result.



# *j*-invariant

Over C the *j*-invariant gives a 1-1 correspondence:

*j* : {*E*/C}/<sub>∼</sub> ←→ C

Now  $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{H}/SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  where  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  by:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}
$$

Analitic description  $E = \mathbb{C}/(\tau \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z})$ :

$$
j(E)=\tau\mod SL_2(\mathbb Z)
$$

Algebraic description  $E = Z(y^2 - x^3 - ax - b)$ 

$$
j(E) = \frac{1728 \cdot 4a^3}{4a^3 + 27b^2}
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Analitic description of the modular curve  $Y_1(N)$ 

$$
\Gamma_1(N):=\left\{\begin{bmatrix}a&b\\c&d\end{bmatrix}\in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\mid\begin{bmatrix}a&b\\c&d\end{bmatrix}\equiv\begin{bmatrix}1&*\\0&1\end{bmatrix}\mod N\right\}
$$

*Y*<sub>1</sub>(*N*)(*C*) :=  $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ <sub>1</sub>(*N*)

There is again a 1-1 correspondence:

 $\psi: \{ (E, P) \mid E / \mathbb{C}, \, P \in E \text{ of order } \mathcal{N} \} /_{\sim} \overset{1:1}{\longleftrightarrow} Y_1(\mathcal{N})(\mathbb{C})$ 

Analitic description  $(E, P) = (\mathbb{C}/(\tau \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}), 1/N \mod \tau \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z})$ 

$$
\psi(E,P)=\tau\mod SL_2(\mathbb Z)
$$



## Algebraic description of the modular curve *Y*1(*N*)

#### **Proposition**

*Let K be a field, E/K and P*  $\in$  *E(K) of order N*  $\geq$  4*. Then there are unique b,*  $c \in K$  *such that*  $E \cong Z(Y^2 + cXY + bY - X^3 - bX^2)$  *and*  $P = (0,0)$ 

\n- • 
$$
R := \mathbb{Z}[b, c, \frac{1}{\Delta}]
$$
 with  $\Delta := -b^3(16b^2 + (8c^2 - 36c + 27)b + (c - 1)c^3)$
\n- •  $E/R$  elliptic curve given by  $Y^2 + cXY + bY = X^3 + bX^2$
\n- •  $P := (0 : 0 : 1)$
\n

Let  $\Phi_N, \Psi_N, \Omega_N \in \mathbb{R}$  be s.t.  $(\Phi_N \Psi_N : \Omega_N : \Psi_N^3) = \mathbb{N}P$ 

The equation  $\Psi_N = 0$  means P has order dividing N. Define  $F_N$ by removing form Ψ*<sup>N</sup>* all factors coming from some Ψ*<sup>d</sup>* with *d*|*N*.

$$
Y_1(N)_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]} := \text{Spec}(R[1/N]/F_N)
$$



Algebraic description of the modular curve *Y*1(*N*)

- $R := \mathbb{Z}[b, c, \frac{1}{\wedge}]$
- $E/R$  elliptic curve given by  $Y^2 + cXY + bY = X^3 + bX^2$
- $P := (0:0:1)$
- Let  $\Phi_N$ ,  $\Psi_N$ ,  $\Omega_N \in \mathbb{R}$  be s.t.  $(\Phi_N \Psi_N : \Omega_N : \Psi_N^3) = \mathbb{N}P$

Define *F<sup>N</sup>* by removing form Ψ*<sup>N</sup>* all factors coming from some Ψ*<sup>d</sup>* with *d*|*N*.

$$
Y_1(N)_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]}:=\text{Spec}(R[1/N]/F_N)
$$

Let  $N > 4$  and let K be a field with char(K)  $\nmid N$  then

 $\psi: \{ (E, P) \mid E/K, \, P \in E(K) \text{ of order } N \}/_{\sim} \overset{1:1}{\longleftrightarrow} Y_1(N)(K)$ Let  $(E, P) = (Z(y^2 - cxy - by - x^3 - bx^2), (0, 0))$  then

$$
\psi(E,P)=(b,c)
$$

## Relation between  $Y_1(N)$  and  $S(d)$

### The 1-1 correspondence

$$
\psi: \{ (E, P) \mid E/K, P \in E(K) \text{ of order } N \}/_{\sim} \stackrel{\{1:1}{\longleftrightarrow} }{Y_1(N)(K)}
$$

#### gives

$$
S(d):=\{p\text{ prime}\mid \exists K/\mathbb{Q}\colon [K:\mathbb{Q}]\leq d,\,\exists E/K\colon E(K)\,[p]\neq 0\}=
$$

$$
= \{p \text{ prime } | \exists K/\mathbb{Q} \colon [K:\mathbb{Q}] \leq d, Y_1(p)(K) \neq \emptyset \}
$$

So we want to know whether  $Y_1(29)$ ,  $Y_1(31)$  and  $Y_1(41)$  contain points of degree  $\leq$  5 over  $\mathbb{O}$ .





# $X_1(N)$  and cusps

Let  $N > 5$ . Then  $Y_1(N)$  can be embedded in a projective  $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ -scheme  $X_1(N)$ . Let  $K = \overline{K}$  and N prime. Then

 $\#(X_1(N)(K) \setminus Y_1(N)(K)) = N - 1.$ 

These  $N-1$  elements are called the cusps. Over Q we have

 $#(X_1(N)(\mathbb{O})) Y_1(N)(\mathbb{O})) = (N-1)/2.$ 

i.e. only half of the cusps are defined over Q.



# A useful proposition of Michael Stoll

### **Proposition**

*Let C*/Q *be a smooth proj. geom. irred. curve with Jacobian J, d* > 1 *and*  $\ell$  *a prime of good reduction for C. Let*  $P \in C(\mathbb{Q})$  *and*  $\iota:C^{(d)}\to J$  the canonical map normalized by  $\iota(dP)=0.$ *Suppose that:*

- **1** there is no non-constant  $f \in \mathbb{O}(C)$  of degree  $\leq d$ .
- <sup>2</sup> *J*(Q) *is finite.*

$$
\bullet \ \ell > 2 \text{ or } J(\mathbb{Q})[2] \hookrightarrow J(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}).
$$

 $\bullet$   $C(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ 

**5** The intersection of  $\iota(\bm{C^{(d)}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)}) \subseteq J(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  with the image of  $J(Q)$  *under reduction mod*  $\ell$  *is contained in the image of*  $C^d(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ .

*Then*  $C(\mathbb{Q})$  *is the set of points of degree*  $\leq d$  *on C.* 

### Verifying the hypotheses

Mazurs result on  $S(1)$  implies that if  $p > 7$  then the only rational points on  $X_1(p)(\mathbb{O})$  are the rational cusps.

So if hypotheses 1 – 5 are satisfied for  $X_1(p)$  and *d* with  $p > 7$ and some  $\ell$  then  $p \notin S(d)$ .

Stoll has shown hypotheses  $2 - 5$  are satisfied for  $\ell = 2$ ,  $d = 5$ and  $C = X_1(29)$ ,  $X_1(31)$  or  $X_1(41)$ .

What remains for proving that  $S(5) = Primes(19)$  is:

• For  $p = 29, 31$  and 41 there is no non constant  $f \in \mathbb{Q}(X_1(p))$  of degree  $\leq 5$ .

For  $p = 41$  this was already known. For  $p = 29, 31$  this is proved in the "gonalities" part of my thesis.



# Definition of gonality

#### **Definition**

Let *K* be a field and *C*/*K* be a smooth proj. geom. irred. curve then the *K*-gonality of *C* is:

 $\mathsf{gon}_\mathcal{K}(C) := \mathsf{min}_{f \in \mathcal{K}(C) \setminus \mathcal{K}}[\mathcal{K}(C): \mathcal{K}(f)] = \mathsf{min}_{f \in \mathcal{K}(C) \setminus \mathcal{K}}$  deg *f* 

#### Theorem (Abramovich)

*Let N be a prime then:*  $\mathsf{gon}_{\mathbb{C}}(X_1(N)) \geq \frac{7}{1600}(N^2-1).$ *If Selberg's eigenvalue conjecture holds then:* gon<sub>C</sub>( $X_1(N)$ )  $\geq \frac{1}{192}(N^2-1)$ .

<span id="page-12-0"></span> $\operatorname{\mathsf{So}}\operatorname{\mathsf{gon}}_\mathbb Q(X_1(41))\ge\operatorname{\mathsf{gon}}_\mathbb C(X_1(41))\ge 7/1600(41^2-1)>7.$ But, even with the conjecture, this doesn't give a good enough bound for gon<sub> $\Omega$ </sub>( $X_1$ (29)) and gon $\Omega$ ( $X_1$ (31))

### The  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$  gonality is smaller than the  $\mathbb{O}$ -gonality

### **Proposition**

Let  $C/\mathbb{Q}$  be a smooth proj. geom. irred. curve and  $\ell$  be a prime *of good reduction of C then:*

 $\mathsf{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mathsf{gon}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell})$ 

To use this we need to know how compute the  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$  gonality of *C*.Let div $_d^+$   $C_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \subseteq$  div<sup>+</sup>  $C_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$  be the set of effective divisors of degree  $\vec{d}$ . Then  $\#(\textsf{div}_{\vec{d}}^+ \, \bar{C}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}) < \infty.$ The following algorithm computes the  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ -gonality:

Step 1 set  $d = 1$ 

Step 2 While for all  $D \in \text{div}_{d}^{+}$   $C_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}$  : dim  $H^{0}(C,D) =$  1 set  $d = d + 1$ Step 3 Output d.

This is too slow to compute gon $_{\mathbb{F}_2}(X_1(29))$  and gon $_{\mathbb{F}_2}(X_1(31))$ 



### Divisors dominating all functions of degree ≤ *d*

 $C/F_l$  a smooth proj. geom. irr. curve. View  $f \in \mathbb{F}_l(C)$  as a map  $f\colon\thinspace\boldsymbol{C}\to\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_I}.$  For  $g\in$  Aut  $\boldsymbol{C},\,h\in$  Aut  $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_I}.$  deg  $f=$  deg  $h\circ f\circ g$ 

### **Definition**

A set of divisors *S* ⊆ div *C* dominates all functions of degree  $0 \leq d$  if for all dominant  $f \colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_I}$  of degree  $\leq d$  there are  $D \in \mathcal{S}, \, g \in$  Aut  $C$  and  $h \in$  Aut  $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_I}$  such that div  $h \circ f \circ g \geq -D$ 

#### **Proposition**

*If S* ⊆ div *C dominates all functions of degree* ≤ *d then*

$$
\textnormal{gon}_{\mathbb{F}_I} C \ge \textnormal{min}(d+1, \inf_{\substack{D \in S, f \in H^0(C, D), \\ \textnormal{deg} f \neq 0}} \textnormal{deg} f).
$$

Example: div $_d^+$  C dominates all functions of degree  $\leq$  d.

# A smaller set of divisors dominating functions of degree ≤ *d*

#### **Proposition**

Define 
$$
n := [\#C(\mathbb{F}_l)/(l+1)]
$$
 and  $D := \sum_{p \in C(\mathbb{F}_l)} p$ . Then

$$
\mathsf{div}^+_{d-n} \, C+D := \left\{s' + D \mid s' \in \mathsf{div}^+_{d-n} \, C \right\}
$$

*dominates all functions of degree* ≤ *d.*

#### Proof.

There is a  $g\in$  Aut $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}$  such that  $g\circ f$  has poles at at least  $n$ distinct points in  $C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . If *f* has degree  $\leq d$  then there is an  $\epsilon$  element  $s \in \div_{d-n}^+ C$  such that div  $g \circ f \geq -s - D.$ 



An even smaller set of divisors dominating functions of degree ≤ *d*

### **Proposition**

*If S* ⊆ div *C dominates all functions of degree* ≤ *d and*  $S' \subseteq$  div *C* is such that for all  $s \in S$  there are  $s' \in S'$  and  $g$  ∈ Aut *C such that g(s') ≥ s. Then S' also dominates all functions of degree* ≤ *d.*

This means that only 1 representative of each Aut *C* orbit of *S* is needed. This will be usefull in the cases  $C = X_1(p)$  with  $p = 29, 31.$ 

In these case we have an automorphism of *C* for each  $d \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*/ \{ \pm 1 \}$  given by  $(E, P) \mapsto (E, dP)$ . This gives 14 and 15 automorphisms respectively.



# Computing the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -gonality of  $X_1(29)$  and  $X_1(31)$

#### **Proposition**

$$
\text{gon}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(X_1(29)) = 11 \text{ and } \text{gon}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(X_1(31)) = 12
$$

#### Proof.

For a "smart" choice of  $S \subset \text{div } X_1(p)$  dominating all function of degree  $\leq d$  with  $d = 10$  (respectively 11) I computed:

$$
\textnormal{gon}_{\mathbb{F}_I}(X_1(\rho)) \geq \textnormal{min}(d+1, \inf_{\substack{D \in S, \\ f \in H^0(X_1(\rho), D), \\ \textnormal{deg} f \neq 0}} \textnormal{deg } f).
$$

using Magma. This gives lower bounds 11 (resp. 12). During this computation I found functions of deg 11 (resp. 12).





### The Q-gonality of  $X_1(29)$  and  $X_1(31)$

### Over Q there are known functions of degree 11 (respectively 13) on  $X_1(29)$  (respectively  $X_1(31)$ ).

#### **Corollary**

$$
\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(29)) = 11 \text{ and } \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(31)) \in \{12, 13\}
$$

Actually ,gon<sub> $\Omega$ </sub> $(X_1(31)) = 12$  because recently Mark van Hoeij found a function of degree 12 on  $X_1(31)$  defined over  $\mathbb Q$ .







- *S*(5) = *Primes*(19) (was ⊆ *Primes*(271))
- *S*(6) ⊆ *Primes*(41) ∪ {73} (was ⊆ *Primes*(773))
- *S*(7) ⊆ *Primes*(127) (was ⊆ *Primes*(2281))

Work in progress:

Using Michael Stoll's ideas I am close to proving:

*Primes*(19) ∪ {37} ⊆ *S*(6) ⊆ *Primes*(19) ∪ {37, 73}

<span id="page-19-0"></span>